

8/16/10

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2009-2010 educational progress for Stanton Elementary. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact John Shick, principal at Stanton Elementary, for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site: www.central-montcalm.org, or you may review a copy from the office at your child's school.

For 2009-2010, Stanton Elementary made Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in English language arts and mathematics. While we are pleased to have reached this important goal, we are continuously working to improve. We appreciate the continued support of parents, staff and our community in this effort.

State law requires that we supply additional information:

1. Students are assigned to Stanton Elementary by means of one of these three criteria: residency in the Stanton boundaries of the Central Montcalm School District, submitting a schools of choice request or by attaining an out of district release form.
2. Our School Improvement Plan is currently in the 2nd year of a 3 to 5 year cycle. We implemented this plan last year corresponding with the restructuring of our building from that of a Y-5 thru 3rd to a Y-5 thru 2nd. At that time we also transitioned to an all day, every day kindergarten program.
3. A copy of our core curriculum can be accessed via a request from the office. This core curriculum adheres to the State Grade Level Expectations. New this year is the incorporation of daily 90 minute uninterrupted blocks of time set aside to focus on the English/Language Arts curriculum.
4. Last year 96% of the students at Stanton Elementary had parent representation at our Parent/Teacher conferences.

We are extremely proud of our staff's commitment to excellence. Their hard work and dedication in doing what is best for kids, has fueled our drive to develop a lower elementary school that is on the creative edge of educative initiatives, while

maintaining the family atmosphere of the small hometown school. Together with the parents of our community, we have worked hard to attain a B report at Stanton Elementary. While we are pleased with our grade, we are not satisfied to just maintain it. The staff of Stanton Elementary has committed to maintain its focus on providing the highest level of educational experience that our students deserve.

Sincerely,

John Shick
Principal

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	74.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.4%	62.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	79.1%	84.4%	75%	13.6%	61.4%	22.7%	2.3%
Mathematics									
Grade: 03									
All Students	2008-09	100%	91.3%	93.2%	88.7%	40.8%	47.9%	11.3%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	90.9%	93.4%	89.7%	41%	48.7%	10.3%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	91.6%	93%	87.5%	40.6%	46.9%	12.5%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	86.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	94.9%	93.6%	89.9%	42%	47.8%	10.1%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	92%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	85.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	79.7%	81.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	85.7%	88.3%	81.8%	25%	56.8%	18.2%	0%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Disadvantaged									

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
No records to display.									

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Language Arts								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	<10	82.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	87.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	<10	80.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	81.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Supported Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to display.								

Participation

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to display.								

MEAP-Access

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
No records to display.								

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Montcalm Area ISD, Central Montcalm Public Schools, Stanton Elementary School

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	93.8%
Mathematics	99.8%	94.4%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Black or African American		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	94.3%
Mathematics	99.8%	94.6%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Multiracial		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Students with Disabilities		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.8%	72%
Mathematics	97.8%	78.8%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	103.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	103.2%	93%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access.

* [AYP Targets \(Annual Measurable Objectives\)](#)

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
All Students	
State	
75.39%	
District	

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

77.98%

Black or African American

State

56.59%

District

<10

American Indian or Alaska Native

State

65%

Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander

State

84.47%

District

<10

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

Hispanic or Latino

State

59.94%

District

<10

White

State

81.85%

District

77.58%

Multiracial

State

71.12%

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

Limited English Proficient

State

65.51%

District

<10

Students with Disabilities

State

57.61%

District

56.67%

Economically Disadvantaged

State

59.8%

Graduation Rate (High Schools only)
(Goal 80%)

District

65.52%

Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)

All Students

State

94.7%

District

94.5%

School

93.7%

Black or African American

State

91%

District

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
	94.6%
School	
	89.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	
State	
	93.7%
District	
	91.3%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	
State	
	96.5%
District	
	91.1%
Hispanic or Latino	

**Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)**

State

94.1%

District

93%

School

96%

White

State

95.7%

District

94.6%

School

93.7%

Multiracial

**Attendance Rate
(Goal 90%)**

State

94.8%

District

96.4%

School

98.8%

Limited English Proficient

State

94.6%

District

90.5%

School

98.4%

Students with Disabilities

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
State	
	93.5%
District	
	93.2%
School	
	91.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	
State	
	94.8%
District	
	94.4%
School	
	93.8%

* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

** More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link:

http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709_22875---,00.html

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Montcalm Area ISD, Central Montcalm Public Schools, Stanton Elementary School

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Yes	Met	Met	Met	B	N/A	0

December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Montcalm Area ISD, Central Montcalm Public Schools, Stanton Elementary School

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School	1	9	8	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	5.3%
	School Aggregate
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%

Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50	22	41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	43	36	47	16	1
Not Eligible	56	11	40	40	9
Info not available	†	†	†	†	†
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	14	43	37	6
Black	20	52	39	9	0
Hispanic	5	29	51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	†	†	†	†
Unclassified	1	†	†	†	†
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	12	42	39	17	2
Not SD	88	19	44	31	6
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	3	48	40	11	1
Not ELL	97	21	43	31	5

† Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	38	50	37	12	1
Not Eligible	62	21	38	31	10
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	23	40	29	8
Black	18	68	27	4	1
Hispanic	4	38	45	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	11	30	31	28
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	10	75	22	2	1
Not SD	90	27	39	27	7
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	2	58	32	10	0
Not ELL	98	32	37	24	7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	43	52	33	13	2
Not Eligible	57	24	36	31	10
#		‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available		‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	28	36	28	8
Black	19	65	26	7	1
Hispanic	5	49	34	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	21	37	25	17
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	10	66	24	8	3
Not SD	90	32	36	25	7
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	3	65	26	9	1
Not ELL	97	35	35	24	7

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male	51	33	42	23	2
Female	49	23	41	32	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	37	44	41	14	1
Not Eligible	62	18	42	36	4
Info not available	†	†	†	†	†
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	21	32	32	3
Black	18	54	37	9	#
Hispanic	4	40	34	24	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	†	†	†	†
American Indian	1	†	†	†	†
Unclassified	1	†	†	†	†
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	9	73	22	4	#
Not SD	91	23	43	30	3
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	2	60	33	8	#
Not ELL	98	27	42	28	3

Rounds to zero

† Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	82.08	2.771	81.98	2.786	91.89	3.063
	Reading	72.05	2.592	72.01	2.63	81.16	3.53
8	Math	76.39	2.561	76.21	2.578	93.13	4.12
	Reading	70.72	3.239	70.46	3.298	85.15	4.505